

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,556	05/15/2001	Takahiro Tanioka	166539/00	8146
7	590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC			BACKER, FIRMIN	
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200 Vienna, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
V 10111111, V 11 1			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/				
·	09/854,556	TANIOKA, TAKAHIRO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
,	Firmin Backer	3621	N				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	March 2004 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under			nerits is				
Disposition of Claims 4) M. Claim(a), 4, 24 in/ore pending in the application							
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	wit from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement	•					
Application Papers	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	, § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		ige				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			plication).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15					

Application/Control Number: 09/854,556

Art Unit: 3621

Response to Amendment

This is in response to an amendment file on March 9th, 2004 for letter for patent filed on May 15th, 2001 in which claims 1-20 were presented for examination. In the amendment, claims 1-4, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17-20 have been amended, no claim has been canceled, and claim 21 has been added. Claims 1-21 are pending in the letter.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki (U.S. Patent No. 6,385,636).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/854,556

Art Unit: 3621

- 4. As per claims 4, 7, 8, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing method of a processing task, comprising a user terminal obtaining a user application and a license application from an application server via a network, the application server transmitting information of a user who obtained the user application and the license application to a collection/distribution server for accepting a request for a processing task from a customer terminal via the network, dividing the processing task into a form which can be distributed and executed in a plurality of user terminals, requesting the user terminal of executing the divided processing task based on the user information received from the application server, the license application executing the divided processing task requested from the collection/distribution server by the license application on the user terminal, and sending back a processing result to the collection/distribution server from the user terminal; the license application providing the user terminal with a license key for the user application installed to the user terminal, and the collection/distribution server integrating the processing results of the processing task collected from the user terminals to transmit a integrated result to the customer terminal (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).
- 5. As per claim 5, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing method wherein the license application runs as a background processing on the user terminal (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).
- 6. As per claim 6, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing method further comprising: the application server accounting to the collection/distribution server in accordance with the number

Application/Control Number: 09/854,556 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

of the license applications supplied to the user terminal (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).

- 7. As per claim 9, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing further comprising: a first user database which connects to the application server and which stores user information of the user terminals, to which the application server supplied the user application and the license application, wherein the application server notifies the collection/distribution server of the user information when storing the user information into the first database (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).
- 8. As per claim 10, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing system according to claim 9, further comprising: a second user database which connects to the collection/distribution server and which stores the user information received by the collection/distribution server from the application server, wherein the collection/distribution server manages the user terminals which execute a divided processing task based on the second user database (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).
- 9. As per claim 11, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing system according to claim 10, wherein the license application supplied to the user terminal requests the processing unit to the collection/distribution server when the license application runs on the user terminal (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).

Application/Control Number: 09/854,556 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-3 and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (U.S. Patent No. 6,385,636) in view of Downs et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,112,243).
- 12. As per claim 1, 3, 12, 17-21, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing method in which a processing task is distributed to a plurality of user terminals in a network and is executed by a user terminals (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37,4 lines 10-34) comprising a server dividing a processing task into a plurality of the processing units and distributing the processing units to the plurality of user terminals so that, each of the plurality of user terminals will be able to execute at least on distributed processing unit received from the server and sending back a processing result to the server via the network (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).

 Suzuki fails to teach user terminals receiving a specified service as a value for executing the processing units. However Downs et al teach user terminals receiving a specified service as a value for executing the processing units (see column 4 lines 5-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inventive concept of Suzuki to include Downs concept of user terminals receiving a specified

Application/Control Number: 09/854,556

Art Unit: 3621

service as a value for executing the processing units because this would have ensured that e user terminal is compensated for service rendered.

- 13. As per claim 2, Suzuki fail to teach teaches a distributed processing method wherein the specified service is to provide a license of using a user application or to discount a user fee charged by a on-line shopping and a provider connection. However, Downs et al teach a distributed processing method wherein the specified service is to provide a license of using a user application or to discount a user fee charged by a on-line shopping and a provider connection (see column 3 lines 29-55, 4 lines 60-5 line 28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inventive concept of Suzuki to include Downs et al's a distributed processing method wherein the specified service is to provide a license of using a user application or to discount a user fee charged by a on-line shopping and a provider connection this would have ensured that e user terminal is compensated for service rendered.
- 14. As per claim 13, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing system wherein the collection/distribution server integrates and edits all the processing results transmitted from the user terminals, and transmits the result to the customer terminal (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).

Application/Control Number: 09/854,556

Art Unit: 3621

- 15. As per claim 14, Downs et al teach a distributed processing system wherein the service for the user terminal of the application server is a discount of a fee in accordance with the points (see column 3 lines 29-55, 4 lines 60-5 line 28).
- 16. As per claim 15, Suzuki teaches a distributed processing system wherein the license application runs on the user terminal as a background processing (see fig 1-3, column 2 lines 8-37, 3 lines 13-37, 4 lines 10-34).
- 17. As per claim 16, Downs et al teach a distributed processing system wherein the application server charges the user terminal for an amount, which is discounted from a service fee for the user terminal by an amount in accordance with the point, and charges the collection/distribution server for the discounted amount (see column 3 lines 29-55, 4 lines 60-5 line 28).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firmin Backer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3621

April 27, 2004